## The Undecidability Theorem

Our main goal is to prove that  $\beta$ -equality for  $\lambda$ -terms, as well as weak equality for CL-terms, are recursively undecidable; that is, there is no recursive algorithm that can decide whether or not two terms are equivalent.

We will use the following notation for Church numerals:

$$\overline{n} = \lambda f x. f^n x.$$

Since our arguments will work equally for  $\lambda$ terms and CL-terms, we will use  $=_{\beta,w}$  to denote either  $\beta$ - or weak equality. We will also assume that a Gödel numbering on terms is given, denoted  $gd(\cdot)$ . The numbering should be such that there exist recursive total functions  $\tau$ ,  $\nu$  such that

$$\tau(gd(X),gd(Y)) = gd(XY)$$

and

$$\nu(n) = gd(\bar{n}).$$
n Let  $\lceil X \rceil = \overline{gd(X)}.$ 

**Definition (recursively separable):** 

Two sets A and B of natural numbers are *re-cursively separable* iff there is a recursive total function  $\phi$  whose only values are 0 and 1, such that

$$n \in A \Rightarrow \phi(n) = 1,$$
  
 $n \in B \Rightarrow \phi(n) = 0.$ 

## Definition (closed under equality):

A set A of terms is *closed under equality* iff, for all terms X and Y,

$$X =_{\beta, w} Y \Rightarrow (X \in A \Rightarrow X \in B).$$

3

#### Scott-Curry undecidability theorem

**Theorem 1.** For  $\lambda$ -terms and  $\beta$ -equality, or CL-terms and weak equality, no pair of nonempty sets of terms which are closed under equality is recursively separable.

Suppose  $\phi$  separates A and B, where A and B are disjoint sets of terms that are non-empty and closed under equality. Let F define  $\phi$ , so that

$$X \in A \Rightarrow F^{\Gamma}X^{\neg} =_{\beta,w} \overline{1},$$
$$X \in B \Rightarrow F^{\Gamma}X^{\neg} =_{\beta,w} \overline{0}.$$

# Let T define $\tau$ and N define $\nu,$ so that

$$T^{\sqsubset}X^{\lnot}{}^{\top}Y^{\lnot} =_{\beta,w} {}^{\sqsubset}XY^{\lnot}$$

and

$$N\overline{n} =_{\beta, w} \ulcorner \overline{n} \urcorner.$$

Now choose terms X in A and Y in B. We will construct a term J which depends on X and Y such that

$$F^{\neg}J^{\neg} =_{\beta,w} \overline{1} \Rightarrow J =_{\beta,w} B,$$
$$F^{\neg}J^{\neg} =_{\beta,w} \overline{0} \Rightarrow J =_{\beta,w} A.$$

This will cause a contradiction because, letting j = gd(J), we shall have

$$\phi(j) = 1 \implies F^{\ulcorner}J^{\urcorner} =_{\beta,w} \overline{1}$$
$$\implies J =_{\beta,w} Y$$
$$\implies J \in B$$
$$\implies \phi(j) = \overline{0}$$

and

$$\phi(j) = 0 \implies F^{\Gamma}J^{\Gamma} =_{\beta,w} \overline{0}$$
$$\implies J =_{\beta,w} X$$
$$\implies J \in A$$
$$\implies \phi(j) = \overline{1}.$$

7

Let D be the pairing term we constructed such that

$$DXY\overline{1} =_{\beta,w} Y,$$
$$DXY\overline{0} =_{\beta,w} X.$$

We want to build J such that

$$J =_{\beta, w} DXY(F^{\neg}J^{\neg}).$$

## Define

$$J \equiv H^{\Gamma}H^{\neg},$$

where

$$H \equiv \lambda y. DXY(F(Ty(Ny))).$$

We then have

$$J =_{\beta,w} DXY(F(T^{\Gamma}H^{\neg}(N^{\Gamma}H^{\neg})))$$
  
=\_{\beta,w} DXY(F(T^{\Gamma}H^{\neg}\Gamma^{\Gamma}H^{\neg}))  
=\_{\beta,w} DXY(F^{\Gamma}(H^{\Gamma}H^{\neg})^{\neg})  
= DXY(F^{\Gamma}J^{\neg}).

**Corollary 1.** If a set A of terms is closed under equality, it is not recursive.

**Corollary 2.** The set of all terms which have normal form is not recursive.

**Corollary 3.** There is no recursive total  $\psi$  such that

$$\psi(gd(X),gd(Y)) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } X =_{\beta,w} Y, \\ 0 & \text{if } X \neq_{\beta,w} Y. \end{cases}$$